

# *Information Society Technologies In the 6th Framework Programme*

## *Specific targeted research projects*

*Evaluation IST Call 1*

*24 April 2003*

# Specific targeted research projects

---

Designed to generate the knowledge required to improve European competitiveness and to meet the needs of society or Community policies:

- by improving existing or developing new products, processes or services and/or
- by proving the viability of new technologies offering potential economic advantage

May combine any of the following types of activities:

- Targeted, well defined and precisely focused research and technological development
- Demonstration component(s) as appropriate
- Project management

# STREPs main characteristics

---

- STREPs compared to IPs:
  - The STREP scale of ambition is much more limited than that of IPs, consequently :
  - **Different:** Value of activities, Duration, Size of the consortium, Types of activities, Types of Calls (EOI-Calls), Evaluation process/key issues (partly), Negotiation, Consortium agreement, Implementation (partly), Follow-up (partly)
- STREPs compared to FP5 RTD projects :
  - **Similar:** Objectives, Scale of ambition, Value, Duration, Size of consortium, Types of participants, Calls, Evaluation, Negotiation, Follow-up, Audits
  - **Different:** Financial regime, Simplified Proposals, Contractual aspects, Consortium agreement, Collective responsibility, Implementation, IPR

# STREPs - Evaluation criteria 1

---

## 1. Relevance: *Threshold 3/5*

### The extent to which

- the proposed project addresses the objectives of the work programme

# STREPs - Evaluation criteria 2

---

## 2. Potential impact: *Threshold 3/5*

### The extent to which

- the proposed project is likely to have an impact on reinforcing competitiveness or on solving societal problems
- exploitation and/or dissemination plans are adequate to ensure optimal use of the project results
- the proposal demonstrates a clear added value in carrying out the work at European level and takes account of research activities at national level and under European initiatives (e.g. Eureka)

# STREPs - Evaluation criteria 3

---

## 3. S&T excellence: *Threshold 4/5*

### The extent to which

- the project has clearly defined and well focused objectives
- the objectives represent clear progress beyond the current state-of-the-art
- the proposed S&T approach is likely to enable the project to achieve its objectives in research and innovation

# STREPs - Evaluation criteria 4

---

## 4. Quality of the consortium : *Threshold 3/5*

### The extent to which

- the participants collectively constitute a consortium of high quality
- the participants are well-suited and committed to the tasks assigned to them
- there is good complementarity between participants
- the real involvement of SMEs has been adequately addressed

# STREPs - Evaluation criteria 5

---

## 5. Quality of the management : *Threshold 3/5*

### The extent to which

- the project management is demonstrably of high quality
- there is a satisfactory plan for the management of knowledge, of intellectual property and of other innovation-related activities



# STREPs - Evaluation criteria 6

---

## 6. Mobilisation of the resources : *Threshold 3/5*

### The extent to which

- the project foresees the resources (personnel, equipment, finance ...) necessary for success
- the resources are convincingly integrated to form a coherent project
- the overall financial plan for the project is adequate

# STREPs - Overall threshold

---

Threshold for overall score of  
Specific targeted research project proposals:

21/30